



CHECK LIST FOR REVIEW OF DELIVERABLES¹

Deliverable title	Quality report on trainings TCASU
Work package	4.6 Self-evaluation of trainings for citizens and public sector
Author	Kurt Glock (BOKU)
Date	May 2019

Project number: 573806-EPP-1-2016-1-RS-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP

"This project has been funded with support from the European Commission. This publication reflects the views only of the author, and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained therein"

¹ This questionnaire concerns quality issues of NatRisk upon completion of each deliverable. Reviewer appointed by QAC completes the form and submits it by email to WP leader, Task leader and Project Coordinator (natriskuni@gmail.com). The achieved results will be useful for the project's risk management. They will also make part of the QAC final report, as well as of the Coordinator's progress and final reporting to EACEA.





Assurance point	Issues to be addressed	Assessment	Comments	Recommendations	
Compliance with NatRisk objectives	Does the deliverable comply with the overall objectives of the project?	Yes No Partially			
Compliance with the specfic objectives of the WP	Does the deliverable comply with the WP Objectives as specified in the WP description?	Yes No Partially			
Correspondence with the description of work of the relevant activity	Does the deliverable correspond with the activity description as specified in the Application Form?	Yes No Partially			
Compliance with the deliverables format	Is the deliverable presented using the Project's deliverable format – Annex A?	Yes Solution Yes No Partially			
Adequacy of complementary information	Examples of complementary info: - Sources used, - Bibliography, - List of contacts, - Methodology used.	Yes No Partially			
Adequacy of written language	Level of written English	Excellent Adequate Poor			
Overall assessment and suggestions for improvement					
Deadline for submission of amended version of the deliverable					